P 1/1719

App. F. IX-4

1925. (Miscl.)

File No. 7-25

Serial Nos. 1—18

Previous References

Later References
Law 79-32-1-10

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Serial Nos. 17

Part B for November 1928.

SUBJECT

Solicitor Legal Secretary's approval to the draft written statement to be filed re Inam lands of Sri-Lakshmi Kanta Swamy temple at Bekahalli, Hemdya Taluq.

No. P. 6037 dated 7.11.1928

To the Public Prosecutor, Mysore.

Forwarded the order of the Chief Court directing the remand of the case to the lower Court.

G. R. S. III—1] 1925

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(Inezrai

NOTES.

File No. 7 2

FILE HEADING.

Civil Suit re the lease of the inam lands endowed to Sri Someswara temple at Belahu in the Nandya Taluk.

From

The Inezrai Circle

No. 1419 Dated 11. 7. 1925 Received 14. 7. 1925

Diary No. 60	Enclos. 1 to 2	Spare Copies. —	Serial No. 1

BRIEF ABSTRACT OF LETTER.

Reports that against his order of re-sale, Patel Nanje Gowda who was in possession has filed a Civil Suit against Mysore Dy. Comr. and two others in the Mysore Dist. Judge's court forbidding

NOTES AND ORDERS.

Declared as a Kadim tenant, and Sheristadar Legal Secretary's approval to the draft written Statement to be filed in court.

The Inez Circle letter explains the facts of the case.

NOTES—First Sheet.

F. R. S. III-2]

Muzrai Secretariat | Muz. File No. 7 of 192 .

Serial No.

Vide Muz. Comr. solicits the favour of immediate orders as the case is posted for hearing to the 13th instant. He adds that he has requested the Dy. Comr. to instruct the Public Prosecutor to obtain a short adjournment. A copy of the Plaint has been Submitted for orders.

As to the written Statt. Subd

Jt. B)

Plaint to be sent to the Dy. Comr. for speedy disposal

14.7.25

14.7.25

Rev. Secy. For favour of opinion — the matter may kindly be treated as immediate

L.L. Supdt. Grp.

15.7.25

15.7

The written Statement is also required to be filed

Revised with Compts

Issue notice

16.7

16.7

17.7.25

17.7

WD 0561 - GPB—3750—1 8 22

NOTE—Contn. sheet

G. R. S. III. 27

3

Muzrai Secretariat | Muz. File No. 7 of 192 .

Serial No

1 Bd Extracts.

By 17/7/25

By 17.7.25

7) 7 Sdw 8/7.25

2 To, Muz Commr. No 864 17.7.25

3. From, The Muz Commr

Letter no. C. 1419 Dy 3/10/25.

Requests Legal Secretary's opinion as to whether the Sanzad of 1814 – the genuineness of which is open to doubt relied upon by Vanjunde Gowda in support of his Hadini kinary can be admitted in evidence and whether its production alters the position and whether the written Statement already prepared

(Two Copys) E

B

NOTE—Contn sheet

WD 0561–GPB– 3750—1 9 22

G. R.'S [II.2]

392 4

Muzrai Secretariat	Muz. File No. 7	of 192 S

Serial No.

alteration, and solicit immediate instructions in the matter.

6 The Muz Commr. letter explains the facts of the case fully.

9 Nanjunda Sowdai prayed for recognition of his right to Kandayam tenancy ~~practically~~ amounts to a revision of the inam settlement of 1860 which declares the lands in dispute as Devadaya inam, the full income accruing therefrom being utilized for the benefit of the village

6

10 May file Sent to Advocate for Opinion.
Instructions for action.

AV 7.10.25. 8/10/25

10.10.25

NOTE—Contn. sheet

WD 0561–GPB–3750—1 8 22

392

5

G. R. S. III. 2]

Muzrai Secretariat	Muz. File No.	of 192

Serial No.

11 for Issue of Summons

10 10 N.S. 8d 10/10

2 & 2 Fees

12 The Land Lease to be a forgery can be shown to be so by the absence of any entry in Govt records.

13 If the Pattah Land is filed, a Sale deed approved may be filed. At the Hearing when the Plff tries to produce the Land in evidence, the Defts may denounce it as forgery.

14 There is a distinction between the admissibility of any documents & the factum of proof of a document. In the present case the Govt cannot object to the admissibility of the so called Land in evidence - but certainly denounce it as a forgery & then the burden will be on the Plff to prove the genuineness of the document. But in evidence

15 Next Question is whether the documents when proved to be genuine affect the defence ie whether the Land establishes Kadim tenancy.

16 It appears to me that on a fair interpretation of the Land, the Plaintiff becomes a trustee of the properties & is bound to manage the affairs of the temple & to maintain Dasoha & the religious institution out of the income derived from the Lands.

NOTE—Contn. sheet

WD 0561 - GPB- 3750—1 8 22

G. R. S. III. 21

Muzrai Secretariat

Muz. File No. 7 of 192 25

Serial No.

17 This aspect of the case need not now be considered, for in my opinion the fund cannot be proved to be [illegible].

18 At present the P. P may be instructed to file the K.D.C. Cake, instructions from Sec. L & L, Dept according to Rules Rev Sec) with Comptr.

Orders have been passed on para 6 of the Mag. Commr's letter. This should also be noted on Supplementary Memorandum

12.10.25

19 As there has been no injunction from the Court, the plaintiff can have to be evicted as per orders. Please put up a draft reply on both the points for consideration

12.10.25.

DDS 12/10

21 D/S. Subsidy

AV 14.10.25

14/10/25

22 Draft rejoinder. Fair draft

14.10.25.

23 Fair D/S. Subsidy

AV 15.10.25.

NOTE—Contn. sheet

WD 056 GPB—3750—1 8 22

CV 17/10

24 Hand up a further reply received 15.10.25

G.R.S. III.2]

7

Muzrai Secretariat	Muz. File No. 7	of 192 5

Serial No.	
4.	From, the Muz. Comr. Letter no. C. 1419 dd 14.10.25:
D	Submits Dy. Comr's. letter dd 7.10.1925, and solicits early instructions on Sl. 3.
D	From the Dy. Comr's. letter, it will be seen that the Court issued a temporary injunction under ss the disputed lands and the Public Prosecutor has been instructed to defend the Suit at the 13th instant, and that a notice has since been issued as to why the above injunction order should not be made absolute. The Public Prosecutor will be asked to defend the Suit on the date of hearing. Advise accordingly.

NOTE—Contn sheet

WD 0561—GPB—3750—1 8 22

R. S III 2]

Muzrai Secretariat | Muz. File No. 7 of 1925-26

Serial No.

26 Draft submitted for appl
17.10.25.

Rec'd 1st mail 27 4 q. words

339/1926

19 10 25

28 Draft appd
25/10
29 June
26 10 25.

5 To. mucr Coorg Nr. no 5044/28.10.25
Forwards copy of H.L.
seeks opinion that the Samad is
a forgery & requests him to file
the written statt already filed
and ask the Public Prosecutor
to take further instructions for
the hearing

24/11 6. From, The Dy. Comr, Mysore Dist.
Letter no. C.908 dt 4-11-1925.

M Forwards a copy of his Comr
& the muzrai Comr re Civil
Suit filed by Ranjunde Sonia
& Belathur.

NOTE—Contn sheet

WD 0561 - GPB- 3750—1 8 22

G.R.S. III—3]

1935 Nov 25

REV SECRETARIAT
DEC 7 1935
[illegible] REGISTRY
D. No. 568

Routine Notes.

[To be used only for notes, requisitions, etc., not intended for permanent record.]

May 7-35

Letter No C 908 Dy 6-11-35 from the D C Mysore forwarding for orders copy of his letter to the Inspn Genl enclg the written statement of the complainant Hirebyrappa Gowda of Belathur

30 Nos reference has been received either here or in the Inspn Branch. This may perhaps be sent to the Inspn Branch for taking & putting up previous papers, if any, on the subject. Submd. Yes

6/11/35

6/11

6/11

6/11

B.—Should routine notes be written on small slips, the notes must be copied, or slips pasted on this form.

In D C Mysore
Conveying approval & [illegible]

Routine Notes.

[To be used only for notes, requisitions, etc., not intended for permanent record.]

N.B.—Should routine notes be written on small slips, the notes must be copied, or slips pasted on this form.

General and Revenue Secretariat | File No 7 of 1925

Serial No

32 The Public Prosecutor, Mysore, has forwarded to the Dy Comr, Mysore for acceptance the draft of the written Statement to be filed in the Dist Judge's Court, and the Dy Comr requests approval of Govt before the date of hearing which is to Come off on 10—11—1925

Submitted for orders.

AV
7-11-25

7.11.25

33 1) L & L. Dept may be consulted as regards the written Statement

2) In ~~regard to~~ the last part of the Public Prosecutor's letter, he suggests that the Govt Advocate may be asked to file appeln in the Chief Court where in his other Similar Cases appeal is pending, raising as an additional plea that when a Revenue Officer acts under the 14 of the Land Revenue Code, no Suit lies in the Civil Court. As regards this ~~interpretation~~ legal interpretation also the L. & L. Dept's opinion may be obtained.

7.11.25.

(Rev. Dept) 18th November)

206
9.11

As the Govt is to come on tomorrow, the D C may be asked to move the Court accordingly

7-11-25

9.11.25.

Note Contn Sheet

App. F. III—2]

File No. of 192

WDf0569—GPB—...1-7-2

General and Revenue Secretariat

Serial No.

NOTE—Contn. Sheet

General and Revenue Secretariat | File No. 7 of 192 5

Serial No

[illegible]

9.11

36 [illegible]

9.11.25

37

9.11.25

7 [illegible] 9.11.25 [illegible]

38 The file will be sent to [illegible] for favour of remarks as desired by the Member.

10/11/25

10.11.25

39 W.S. has been passed by the two remarks. The development of the case may be intimated to him by the P.P according to [illegible] in this behalf

Revised with Comp[ts] 12/11

17/11

[illegible]

14/11

Note—Contn Sheet

14.11.25

File No. of 192

General Branch (Ex. Secretariat)

Serial No.

NOTE—Contn. Sheet

General and Revenue Secretariat

Jaffna. File No. 7 of 192 5.

[App. F. III—2

Serial No	
42	D.S. Jaffna. AV 16.11.25 16/11/25. 43 Draft 16.11.25. (Secy) 44 Issue 16/11 17.11.25.
8	No 606 dated 19.11.25 to the Dy. Comr. Jaffna — Requests to instruct the Public Prosecutor to intimate the development of the case to the L & L. Secy. according to the rules.

CV 14/11

WD 0580—GPB—40

File No. of 192 .

General and Revenue Secretariat

Serial No.

NOTE—Continue Sheet

Serial No

From the Subdr Bangalore Report dtd No. 223/25-60. 6.12.25. Reports the proceedings in O.S. No 4/25-6 on the file of the Hr. Court, Mysore and requests that the Govt Advocate may be instructed to advance the arguments of non-maintainability of Suit in Sanda's and Swamappa's suits now in appeal before the Chief Court.

1. As Submitted for perusal. The Muz Court may perhaps be requested to return the papers relating to the [illegible]

[illegible] 2 12/12

As Yes Cey 12/12

By Muz. D. nos. 478-19 and 20-1922 relating to the appeals of Swamappa and Sanda now pending in the Ch. Court, and Muz. D. no. 7-25, relating to the civil Suit of Ranjwala Sunda in the Mysore Dist. Judge's Court, are submitted.

AL As Muz. files may be 17.12.25. sent to the Ld Govt Counsel

4 17-12-25

Ld Govt Counsel 18.12.25.

Note—Contn. Sheet

WD [illegible]

File No. of 192 .

General and Revenue Secretariat

Serial No.	
	NOTE—Contn. Sheet

Serial No

Note—Contn. Sheet

WD 0569—GPB —40,000 —31-

App. F. 111—2]

File No. of 192

General and Revenue Secretariat

Serial No

NOTE—Contn. Sheet

(26

[App. F. III—2

Revenue Secretariat

File No.

of 192 .

Serial No

56 59

Draft. issued — Issue cay 15-1

15.1.26

To the Distt. Assessor, Meerut the W.S. 287/16.1.26. Calls for a copy of the judgment.

From the Distt. Assessor No. 287/25-26 dt. 18.1.26. Forwards copy of order of Appellate Authority in O.S. No 4/25.26

58 Submitted for perusal.

LRV 22.1.26 (4th copy) cay 23/1

59 Forward the copy of judgt. for perusal return.

23/1 cay 23/1

60 61

Draft issued — Issue cay 26.1

To the Govt. Steward W.S. 376 dt. 28.1.26 Forwarding copy of judgment in O.S. 4/25.26 for perusal & return

From the Govt. Steward W.S. 376 dt. 1.2.26 Returns the copy of judgment and requests that any more hearings that Govt desire in which case from the court may be communicated when as R.A. No 154/25.26 is coming on for hearing on 15.2.26

NOTE—Contn. Sheet

App. F. III—2]

WD 0569—GPB—40,000

File No. of 192

General and Revenue Secretariat

Serial No.

NOTE—Contn. Sheet

Serial No.

2 The G. A was requested to discuss the legal aspect of the case with the A.G. vide his letter dt. 31.12.25. Accordingly we have considered any discussion ... necessary as the ridt. of the J.S. Mahajan is here & can talk with the legal advisor ... file 15.2.26

Sd/ 20 for orders
8.2.26
Sd/ H.L.Sen

cay 9.2

Sd/ G.A Lawrence took instructions

Sd/ 15/2

Sd/ [illegible]
16/2

From the Pol Report No. 533/25-S dt. 11.2.26 it is clear that Nanjunda Gauda has preferred an appeal to the Chief Commissioner but the date of disposal of the case may be made to the Govt Advocate for further action on the appeal.

Sd/ [illegible]
Perhaps the disposal of the appeal was to be intimated to Govt. In this case I cannot see how it seems to have been addressed yet. The Manager's ... may be consulted & if ... any sanction has to be ... for the same be sought.

Sd/ [illegible] cay
17.2.26 Sd/ [illegible]

24
To the Manager Bor (Vide Rotation 2)

NOTE—Contn. Sheet

18
15

G.R.S. III—3]

Routine Notes.

[To be used only for notes, requisitions, etc., not intended for permanent record.]

D. 932 (BB)

Mez. 7—25
-11

Mez. Com'r. Communication regarding the appeal filed by Nanjunde Gowda in the Chief Court of Mysore about the inam lands endowed to Sri Someswara Swamy temple at Belatur in the Nandya Taluq.

26/7 The previous Com'n. of 25th Jany. 1926 refd. to by the Mez. Com'r. was transferred to L & L Sect'n on 4-2-1926. The matter is under work in that Sect'n. This Communi-

N.B.—Should routine notes be written on small slips the notes must be copied, or slips pasted on this form

932

G.R.S. III—3]

Routine Notes.

[To be used only for notes, requisitions, etc., not intended for permanent record.]

may also be suspended by
L & L. Seely
Secretary.
AL 8/6
17.2.15 26.7.26

6th For perusal.
6th The Muz. Comm: requests
for the services of the Govt: advocate, both
as regards the Stay petition & the appeal.
Rev. Sec'y)

17/2

29.
Immediate.

Secy - may be sent to Govt advocate

17/2

To L.L. Seely) 18/2

(The main file received
from the L & L Seely
is submitted for perusal

N.B. Should routine notes be written on small slips, the notes must be copied or slips pasted on this form

Serial No.

71 With reference to para 23, it is submitted that the Muz. Comr requests sanction of Govt to engage the services of the Govt Advocate to defend the appeal in the Chief Comr.

(71) 72 The Dy. Comr reports that he has received notice and summons from the ch. court to show cause on 22-2-1926 as to why the execution pro. should not be stayed etc. until the disposal of the appeal (posted to 25-6-1926)

73 May the file be sent to L & J L seen as directed.

Submitted

AL 18/2/26 GG 18/2/26

74 Yes, immediately. L 18/2

(L & J L seen)

75 The appeal may be defended & Govt may be asked to appear.

Rev Sec'y with (comp[ts]) 18/2

1st Member) L 18/2

505 19.2

76 L 19/2

Judge L 19/2 & 19/2

77

NOTE.—Contn. Sheet

R. S. III 21

Muzrai Secretariat | Muz. File No. 7 of 1925

318/P. 20

Serial No.

12. To. Govt Advocate
D.C. Mysore & Coorg
No 1067-70 dt 20.2.26.

Authorises the Govt Adv.
to appear on behalf of the
D.C. Mysore & defend the
appeal in the Ch court taking
necy instructions pursuance
to the G.O. has been issued.
The matter is one to be
dealt with in the L.L Sect.
The files may be transferred
to that Sect.

Submit.
AV
22.2.26

L.L. Sect) 1
22/2

(79.5 in
J. No 7-25)

79 [illegible]

80 [illegible]
24/2

To the Govt Advocate Mop 4084 dt 24/2
Ad Copies of Judgment & decree of the
Lower Court in the Case.

WD 0561—GPB—3750—1 8 22

NOTE—Contn. sheet

Serial No.

From the Govt Advocate No 632 d. 26.2.26. Forwards copy of letter to the Ds. Mysore seeking instructions in the matter re. an order of injunction restraining the respondents from taking possession of the land.

81 Swimming bad for hernia

Ltr. 5/3/26 (Ltd Secy) 8/3

82 Secy 8/3 6/3 26 7/3

From, the Govt. Agent:

Letter No. C.1419 dd 3.3.26

Reports that the Govt. Advocate may be instructed to defend the Bailiff, Peradeniya, in the Writ Action and Summons in the case have been issued by the Chief Court in R.N. No. 258 of 25-26,

83 D/L. S.O. Sanctioning is herewith

AL 12-3-26. RSW

84 10/3

1st Monday 10/3

463/163

85 16/3

86 17/3

Serial No	
	From, The Muz. Court, Letter no. 1419 dt 22.3.26. Requests that the Copy of the Decree sent with his letter dt 20-2-1926 may be sent to Govt Advte for reference. 87 Copies of Judgt and Decree were sent to G.A. under Cover of Court dt 24.2.26 vide from L & L Secn. D/R. submitted, & then transfd to Prohn Br 27.3.26. 27.3.26 27/3
716	To Muz Court No 1263 dt 31.3.26 States that the Copy of the decree was sent to G.A with no P 4084 dt 24.2.26 from the L&L Secn no 1264 dt 31.3.26 forwards Copy of the above to the Govt Advocate. dt This may be transferred to Protection Br. 3.4.26 5/4/26 Prohn Br) 5/4
	Exam By)

NOTE—Contn Sheet

3716/23
5 6 7

Routine Notes.

[To be used only for notes, requisitions, etc., not intended for permanent record.]

D. 972

1) 90 Civil Suit re the lease the inam lands endowed Someswara Swamy temple, Belatur, Mandya Taluk

91 Mr connected file No 7-25 containing D. No. 109 has been sent to Prof. Brown on 5. 4. 26.

92 that Branch may be requested to send the file for ref.

Sd/-
27.1.28.

93) To Re-Settlement Branch
for kindly sending the file above for reply
thereon.

Sd/-
28/1/28

N. B.—Should routine notes be written on small Slips, the notes must be copied, or slips pasted on this form.

94 To work for putting up the file
28/1

Mandya 7-25 Hearing Protection
Dr. D. No 3440 of 25 to put up

To the Mysore Branch
28/1/28. AO 28/1

MGCPB—50,000—22 9-04.

37/6 ... 1

may be transferred to

Prot. Br. after perusal

Submitted. Matter is being dealt with

[illegible] 28-1-28

28/1/1928

U Secy)

28/1

97 [illegible] 30/1

31/1

Submitted for perusal. R A 250/25–26 came on for final disposal and judgment was pronounced allowing the appeal and remanding the case to the lower Court for fresh disposal. Copy of judgment will be sent. Submitted for orders whether P. Pr [illegible] may be asked to represent Govt in the lower Court.

[illegible]
1.2.28
[illegible] 1/2

Secy)

1/2

99 I want to see the copy of the judgt

2/2

Submitted
G. A promises to forward copy of judgment. Receipt of the same may be awaited.
[illegible]
8/2/28
[illegible] 4/2

101 A reminder will be sent to the Govt. Advr.
- if so ordered -

4/2

Secy)

102 [illegible]

Urgent 4287 3706 24

G. R. S. [H—3]

ROUTINE NOTES.

[To be used only for notes, requisitions, etc., not intended for permanent record]

103 draft sealed requesting G.A. to send copy of judgment—
Notes
8/12/28 184

Issued vide 4507 d 8/12/28.

From, the Govt. Advocate
Letter no. 622 d 14.2.28
Forwards a copy of the order passed by the chief court remanding the suit for fresh disposal.
105 may be transferred. Put up for further orders.
Submitted
27/2/28

copy of this is in Law 18 of 27 marked B on Rept (A 2281/28) = 8/12/28

4832

106 Transferred to Peshawar
2nd Class

107 Done 27.2.28

Secy

5. The Jail Superintendent while forwarding a certificate

N. B.—Should routine notes be written on small slips, the notes must be copied, or slips pasted on this form.

W D 1889—GPB—1,50,000—2'5-21

G. R. S. III—3]

4087

ROUTINE NOTES.

[To be used only for notes, requisitions, etc., not intended for permanent record.]

108 Ask P. PR. to appear -

109 draft rules for approval.

Issued re P6037/Rule
to Public Prosecutor Mysore
asking him to ahhear in the
Case.

N. B.—Short routine notes be written on small slips, the notes must be copied, or slips pasted on this form.

GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE,

General and Revenue Secretariat,

No. P.6037/Laws Dated, Bangalore, 9th April 1928

D 1419

From

The Secretary to Government,
Law Department.

To

The Public Prosecutor,

Sir, Mysore Division.

I am directed to state that judgment was pronounced in Regular Appeal No. 250/25-26 (Nanjunda-Gowda Vs. the Deputy Commissioner, Mysore District and another) on the file of the Chief Court, allowing the appeal and remanding the case to the lower court for fresh disposal and to request you to kindly appear in the case on behalf of Government.

Copy of order passed by the Chief Court is enclosed.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

For Secy. to Govt. Law Dept.,

Rw. Copy to Municipal Branch.

The connected file has been transp. to Prot. Branch

17-4-28. 17/4/28

113 Manager Mun Jn

17/4

N.B.—Should routine notes be written on small slips, the notes must be copied, or slips pasted on this form

5. The Inam Superintendent while forwarding an extract

G.R.S. III—3]

Routine Notes.

[To be used only for notes, requisitions, etc., not intended for permanent record.]

Jg 114
Book bor for putting up
the connected file.
M 18.4.28. 18/4/28.

Filed
118 the file is placed below - early
return requested.
20/4/28 John Murray Br

476
Submr. for orders with the
23.4.28. connected file
117 This may also be sent
to the Scotch Branch with
Cm[d] file.
23.4.28.

118 GS
25/4

119 Law 25/4

120 This was linked with
Law 1 of 27 (D.N.D. 2281/28)
which has been marked B today.

B of Sus
7.1.29

[B —Should routine notes be written on small slips, the notes must be copied, or slips pasted on this form.

G.R.S. III –3]

Routine Notes.

[To be used only for notes, requisitions, etc. not intended for permanent record.]

Should routine notes be written on small slips, the notes must be copied on to paste pasted on this form

Mez. D. 7-25-1

Office of the Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore,

No. 1419/M.C.25 Cur 1/Pte

Dated, Bangalore the 10th July 1925. 11th

From

The Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore,
Bangalore.



To

The Secretary to the Govt. of H.H. the Maharaja of Mysore, Revenue Department, Bangalore.

Sir,

I have the honor to state that the temple of Someswara at Beltur in the Mandya Taluk is a muzrai institution having been endowed with the inam lands noted in the margin. In the circumstances explained fully in the preamble portion of this office - order dated 3-4-25 in appeal case No. 14 of 24-25 (D) the Deputy Commissioner's orders directing that the lease of the lands may be allowed to stand in the name of Patel Nanjunde Gowda (the present plaintiff) for a period of five years as his contention was entitled to consideration since he was in possession of the lands for a very long time and had agreed to give a gutta of Rs 105 were modified and a re-sale of the lands for a period of five years from 1925-26 ordered to be held.

S.No.42 Wet 2-2
150 Dry 5-5
216 Wet 1-7

Against this order Patel Nanjunde Gowda has filed a civil suit in the District Judge's court, Mysore praying among other matters - to be declared as a kadim tenant of the lands in question. At the request of the Deputy Commissioner permission to engage the services of the Public Prosecutor, Mysore was granted in this office order C.No.1419 of 24-25 dated 13th June 1925. A copy of draft written (C) statement to be filed on behalf of the defendants received with Deputy Commissioner's letter of the 6th July 25 is herewith submitted for kindly obtaining the approval of the Legislative Secretary to Govt.. As the case is reported to have been posted to 15th instant for hearing I solicit the favour of immediate orders. I have, however, requested the Deputy Commissioner to instruct the Public Prosecutor to obtain a short adjournment.

The entire file of original papers ending with Deputy

Commissioner's letter of the 6th July 1925 is enclosed for reference and kind return.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

Muzrai Commissioner.

Section

File No.

Serial No.

Diary No of letter replied to 60

No. 86

no file

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

, the 192

To The Inamdar Comr

Sir,

Date of issue 17-7-25

Signed by

Adverting to your letter no. 1119 dt 11-7-25 reporting that against your order of xx date, Patel Ranje Sonda who was in possession of the said lands ~~which were endowed to the Jain Swetambara temple at Belatur~~ has filed a civil Suit in the Hubli Dt. Judge's court against the Inam Comr. ~~and two others~~ ~~in the Inam Dist Judge's Court~~ for declaration

over

in respect of certain inam lands endowed to the Jain Swetambara temple at Belatur, Mundgod Taluk

Mundgod taluk

List of enclosures

Copied by

Examined by

5. The Inam Superintendent while forwarding a plaint

and soliciting the approval
of the Legislative Secretary
to Smith & the draft
written statement to be
filed in court, I am
directed to state that
the written statement
is (with) all right and may
be filed in the court.
(2) The encls. are
returned.

I have etc.

17.7.75.

(Sd) Rev Secretary

Ed
17.7.75.

30

IMMEDIATE

OFFICE OF THE ~~REVENUE~~ Muzrai/COMMISSIONER
IN MYSORE.

C No. 1419 Encl Collection File of papers Camp Mysore ~~Bangalore~~, 2nd October 1925.
24-25

FROM

C. S. BALASUNDARAM IYER ESQ., B.A.,
Muzrai ~~Revenue~~ Commissioner in Mysore.

GEN. & REV SECRETARIAT
OCT 1925
MUZRAI
D. No 392

To

THE SECRETARY TO THE GOVERNMENT OF
HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE.
REVENUE DEPARTMENT.

SIR,

Referring to your letter No.86/Muzrai dated 17th July 1925, regarding the lease of the Inam lands endowed to Sri Someswara swami Temple at Belatur in the Mandya Taluk, I have the honour to state that before the written statement approved by the Legislative Secretary could be filed in the Court, the Public Prosecutor raised a preliminary objection that the suit was ~~bad~~ bad for want of notice under Section 80 of Civil Procedure Code and that consequently the Plaintiff was permitted to withdraw the suit on payment of costs and to file a fresh suit after giving the required notice.

D

2. A notice under Section 80, Civil Procedure Code, has now been received by the Deputy Commissioner from Mr. P. Sitaramaiya Pleader, intimating that if Nanjundegowda's right of Kadim tenancy over lands in dispute and management over the temple are not recognised, a suit would be filed in a court of law and that the Deputy Commissioner would be made liable for all damages to be incurred by his client.

E (copies)

3. Nanjundegowda depends mainly upon a Sannad dated 28th January 1914 alleged to have been granted to his ancestors by His Highness the late Maharaja Krishnaraj Wadeyar Bahadur, III.

(F)

4. The Deputy Commissioner requests that the genuineness and validity of the Sannad which was not produced by Nanjundegowda either in the original or appellate Court, may be determined and further instructions issued in the matter.

5. The Inam Superintendent while forwarding an extract

extract from the Inam Register pertaining to the grant states that a copy of the Sannad is not available in his office.

5. The Chief Secretary to Government who was also written to in the matter intimates that neither a copy of the Sannad nor an extract from the Sha Amal Diary is available and that the accounts for 1854-55 show that the land was in the enjoyment of the worshipper or Pujari of the temple, one Thammadi Chennaiah.

6. From the Inam Register, it will be seen that there is no reference to the Sannad now produced for the first time - and that the settlement has been made on the Jodi account of 1844 -.

7. In these circumstance, I request you to be so good as to consult the Legislative Secretary to Government and favour me with his opinion as to whether the Sannad - the genuineness of which document is open to doubt - can be admitted in evidence and whether its production alters the position as defined in the appeal order and whether the written statement already prepared requires any alteration.

8. As the plaintiff has to be dispossessed of the land after the expiry of 6 months from the date of order, I solicit the favour of immediate instructions.

9. Corresponoence in original is enclosed for reference and kind return.

I have the honour to be,

S i r,

Your most obedient servant,

C.S. Balasundaram

Muzrai Commissioner.

DR.

16202.

32

No. C1419/24-5 for Office of the Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore.

Dated Bangalore, 14th October 1925.

From

C. S. Balasundaram Iyer, Esq., B.A.,

Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore,

Bangalore.

GEN & REV SECTT. 1 OCT MUZ.

D. No. 447

Muz. 7-25-4

To

B. Srinivasa Iyengar, Esq., B.A., B.L.,

Secretary to the Government

of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,

Revenue Department.

Dr. Muz. 15 OCT

Sir,

In continuation of this office letter C.No.1419/24-25 dated 3rd October 1925, regarding the possession and management of the inam lands belonging to Sri Someswaraswami temple at Belatur in the Mandya Taluk, I have the honor to forward herewith copy of letter C.No.908/24-25 dated 7th October 1925 together with its enclosures from the Deputy Commissioner, Mysore District, and to solicit the favour of early instructions on matters referred to in my communication of the 3rd instant.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

C S Balasundaram Iyer

Muzrai Commissioner.

KSR.

Letter No.C.908/24-25 dated the 6th October 1925 from the Deputy Commissioner Mysore District to the Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore.

"In continuation of this office letter No.C.908/24-25 dated 25th September 1925 re: the notice issued by Patel Manjundegowda of Belathur village, Mandya Taluk, in the matter of recognizing - him as the Kadeem tenant of Sri Someswaraswamy Temple lands at the place, I have the honor to state that the District Judge, Mysore Division, Mysore, has with his letter No.1514/344 dated 1st ----- October 1925 sent me in duplicate the Temporary Injunction Order in O.S.No.4 of 1925-26 on the file of his court restraining me and the Amildar, Mandya Taluk, from interfering with the plaintiff's possession of the scheduled lands and the management of the said Temple until the disposal of the suit or until further orders of the said Court. As the case is posted for hearing on 13th October 1925, I have issued necessary instructions to the Public Prosecutor, Mysore for defending the Suit on the 13th instant. I have also issued orders to the Amildar, Mandya Taluk, not to dispossess the plaintiff of the possession of the Suit lands until the disposal of the case in the District Court.

A copy of the Injunction order together with a copy of --- plaint filed by the plaintiff in the District Judge's court is --- enclosed for information.

While I was just writing this letter, I received summons in duplicate from the District Judge, Mysore, in connection with the above suit to show cause on 13th October 1925 why the Injunction - order referred to above should not be made absolute. A copy of the notice received from the District Judge's Court is also enclosed herewith. The Public Prosecutor, Mysore, has also been requested to defend the Suit on the date of hearing."

"C o p y"

14/10/25

for Muzrai Commissioner.

KSR.

C 1419 yrs one

Office of the Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore

Dated Bangalore, 22nd October 1925.

GEN. & REV. SECRE. 2 OCT 1925 MUZ. D. No. 489

GENL. & REVENUE SECTT. 23 OCT ... To

C. S. Balasundaram Iyer, Esq., B.A.,
Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore,
Bangalore.

B. Srinivasa Iyengar, Esq., B.A., B.L.,
Secretary to the Government of
His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,
Revenue Department.

Sir,

In continuation of this office letter dated 14th October - 1925 regarding the inam lands belonging to the temple at Belatur in the Mandya Taluk, I have the honor to send herewith copy of - letter C.No.908/24-25 dated 21st October 1925 from the Deputy Commissioner, Mysore District and to request the favour of early --- instructions in the matter as the case stands adjourned to 2nd - proximo.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,
C S Balasundaram Iyer
Muzrai Commissioner.

KSR.

The connected file is before the Member (D. No. 449 - Inam. 7-25) and orders are awaited.
Section Officer
23.10.25
28/10/25 Submitted
1st Member
24.10.25
491 24.10.25
339
25/10

36

504 Encls. 4 and

35

Letter No.C.908/24-25 dated 21st October 1925 from the Deputy Commissioner, Mysore District to the Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore.

"In continuation of this office letter No.C.908/24-25 dated 7th October 1925 re the suit filed by Patel Nanjunde Gowda in the District Judge's Court, Mysore I have the honor to forward herewith copy of Public Prosecutor's letter No.138/25-26 dated 15-10-25 stating that the case has been adjourned to 2-11-1925 for -- filing over written statement, and to request that immediate --- instructions as to the genuiness or validity of the "Niroop" may kindly be furnished as the same has to be intimated to the Public Prosecutor, Mysore."

"C o p y"

Muzrai Commissioner.

KSR.

504 Encls. 4 and one file.
To Mes 7-25-5 & 28-10-1925.

The Mental Commissioner for Mysore

Sir,

Adverting to correspondence ending with your letter No. C.1419 dated ~~2-10-1925~~ 14.10.1925 regarding the pankalam management of Sri Ramaswami Temple, Palani, Madura Taluk, belonging to Sri Someswara temple, Periakulam, ~~Secretary to Government on the matter in the matter of the threatened Civil suit re~~ the lease of the Inam lands allowed to Sri Somes~~wara temple~~ ~~at Palani, Madura Taluk, the Sanad of 1814 the terms of which is open to doubt relied upon by the Plaintiff can be adduced in evidence, and whether the written statement already prepared requires any alteration~~, I am directed to send for reference ~~as~~ a copy of the opinion recorded by the Local and Legislative Secretary to Government, and to request that ~~in case~~ the suit is instituted, the written statement already approved may be filed, and the Public Prosecutor asked to take further instructions from the Local and Legislative Secretary, according to rules.

regarding last sentence of 1814 reference is in Jan letter of 3 Nov 1925

As regards the point raised in para. 5 of your letter under advertence, I am directed to state that in the absence of an injunction from the Court, there can be no objection for taking further action in accordance with rule for the dispossession of the plaintiff, of the property in dispute.

3. The enclosures are returned for reference and re-submission.

I have &c.,

17.10.15 19.10.15

Revenue Secretary.

25.10

Mysore

192 38

m

The Deputy Commissioner,
Mysore District.

To

The Secretary to the Government
of Mysore, Bangalo
Local & Legis.Department

Dated } 4th November 1925.
Despatched }

Received

General No. C. 908/24-25.
No.

Enclosure No. 3.

Forwards a copy of letter of this date addressed to the Muzrai Commissioner in the matter of the suit against Government by Nanjundegowda of Belathur.

No.R. 1984

OFFICE OF THE DEPUTY COMMISSIONER,
MYSORE DISTRICT.

Dated the 4th November 1925

From A.V.Ramanathan, Esq., B.A.
Deputy Commissioner, Mysore District.



To B. Nagappa, Esq., Bar-at-Law
Secretary to the Government of His Highness
the Maharaja of Mysore, Bangalore.
Local & Legis.Departments.

Sir,

I have the honor to forward herewith a copy o my letter No.C.908/24-25 of this date, addressed to the Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore in the matter of the suit against Government filed in the District Judge's Court by Nanjundegowda of Belathur, for favour of information and orders of Government before date of hearing.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

For Deputy Commissioner,
Mysore District.

A.N.

7/11/25

C.O. of the Deputy Commissioner,
Mysore.

Mysore the 4th November 1925.

From

A.V.Ramanathan, Esquire, B.A.,
Deputy Commissioner,
Mysore District.

To

C.S.Balasundaram Iyer, Esquire, B.A.,
Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore,
Bangalore.

Sir,

In continuation of this Office letter No. ø.C. 908-24-25 dated 21-10-1925 in the matter of the suit against Government, filed by Nanjunde Gowda in the District Judge's Court, Mysore, regarding the possession of lands endowed to Sri Someswaraswamy Temple, Belattur Mandya Taluk, I have the honor to forward herewith a copy of letter No. 161-25-26 dated 31-11-1925 received from the Public Prosecutor Mysore Division and the draft of a written statement to be filed in the District Judge's Court, for favour of obtaining the approval of Government before the next date of hearing which is 10-11-1925.

I have the honor to be
Sir,
Your most obedient servant

Sd. G. Narayan Rao,
For Deputy Commissioner.
Mysore District.

GSK.

"Copy"

For Deputy Commr,
Mysore Dist.

4.11.25.

From, Deputy
The/Commissioner

Mysore District

To

The Muzrai, Commissioner in
Mysore, Bangalore.

Dated, Mysore the 4th November 1921

Despatched

Received

General No.
No

Enclosure No.

Letter No. 161/25-26 dated 3-11-25 from the Public Prosecutor Mysore Division, to the Deputy Commissioner, Mysore District.

I have the honor to send herewith for your acceptance the draft of the written statement in answer to Nanjunde Gowda's suit viz., O.S No. 4/25-26 on the file of the District Judge's Court, Mysore. The case is for hearing on 10-11-1925. You will please arrange to secure within then the approval of Government and send the draft typed, with your signature as usual on one of the 3 typed copies. You will notic from my pleas that I take objection to the filing and maintainablili t of the present suit in the matter of a Muzrai Institution wherein th grant is a Government Inam. After scrutiny of the Muzrai Regulation, I am inclined to opine that Suits Contemplated u/s 12 of the Regulation apply only to Muzrai Insititutions when grants are made by private parties and that section 14 is the section applicable when the sai grants are endowed by Government or by the ruling Sovereign. No su lies when a Muzrai Officer acts under section 14 and the only way which such action could be revised or amended, if at all, would be under sections 22 and 23 of the Muzrai Regulation. This objection may, with advantage, be urged in the Maddur temple suits viz., Surappa's and Shanta's suits. The objection being one of law, ment may request the Government Advocate to file a formal applica as an additional appeal plea (if necessary) in the appeals pendi before the Chief Court.

× × ------ × ×

" C O P Y "

For Deputy Commissioner.
Mysore District.

CSK.

n the Court of the District Judge, Mysore.

O.S. No. 4/ 1925-26.

Plaintiff

Nanjunde Gowda.

Vs.

Defendants

1. Dy. Commissioner, Mysore Dt.
2. Beltur Sri Someswaraswamy temple represented by Amildar, Mandya Taluk.

Written statement filed by Defendants.

The defendants above named beg to state as follows:-

1. No suit is maintainable for the relief sought for in the Plaint Section 12 of the Muzrai Regulation does not apply to thefacts of this case. As such, the present suit on this ground of non-maintainability alone apart from other considerations, is liable to be dismissed with costs.

2. The "Niroopa" or Sannad relied on by Plaintiff in para. 1 of the Plaint is a bogus one.

3. The Kadim tenancy as set up by the Plaintiff is false and untenable.

4. The Muzrai Department under section 14 of the Muzrai Regulation are perfectly competent to act in the manner in which they did. The order of the Muzrai Commissioner is final except for being subject to the operation of sections 22 and 25 of the Muzrai Regulation.

5. There is no cause of action against any of the defendants and no suit can lie in a civil court in regard to the matter adverted to in the plaint.

For reasons aforesaid the defendants pray that the suit may be dismissed with costs.

We ~~xxxxx~~ the defendants above named do hereby solemnly affirm that what is stated in paras. 1 to 5 above are true to the best of our information and belief.

63

R. S. VI.—G/32]

SUBJECT ... .

.....

.....

...mary No. of letter replied to .....

Section
No.
Serial No.

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

, the 192 .

No.

Date of issue

To

Sir,

WD 0632 G B—16...—16-8...

Necessary measures
Taken in the Middle
of once.

Thanks

9 11.55

L Russell

Ref

9.11.55

List of enclosures.

Copied by

Examined by

44

B650

Section Muz

File No. 7 – 98

Serial No. 8

Diary No. of letter replied to

No. 606

To The Dy Com^r, Mysore

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

, the *192*

Sir,

In conty of this Office letter no 550 – Muz. 7 – 25 dy 9th Novr 1925 forwarding the written statement to be filed in the Dist^t Judge's court, Mysore, in the matter of the Suit against Govt re lands endowed to Sri Someswara Swamy temple at Belahūr, Nandya Taluk, I am directed to request that you will be so good as to instruct

over

Date of issue 19 – 11 – 25

Signed by [illegible]

List of enclosures

Copied by

Examined by

ie Public Prosecutor
to initiate the development
of the case from time to time to the Ld Secretary
according to rules framed
in this behalf.

I have &c.

16/11/25.
Dy Registrar
16/11
Ld Secretary.
16/11/25

No 607 Encl: one. of 19th November 1925.
Copy to Mun. Comr together with a copy
of this Office letter
No 5330/Mun7-2
of 9-11-25.
addressed to the
Municipal Commissioner

No.

Dy Registrar
Ld Secretary.
16/11/25

JECT.....

.....

.....

Section

File No.

Seria No.

Diary No. of letter replied to.....

No. 8500

To .....

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the *192*

Date of issue 16/1/26

Signed by .....

List of enclosures .....

Copied by .....

Examined by .....

over

4.5

C 1419 of 25 Office of the Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore.

Dated Bangalore, 28th January 1926. 29 Jan 85 8

The files on the subject with the previous Cair 21 — Muz. D. No. 682 was transferred to L.L. Sectt on 18/12/1925. This may also be transferred to Mal Rev Sectt. 2/2/26 AL 2/2/1926.

GEN. & REVENUE SECRETARIAT 7—25—10

30 JAN 1926

MUZRAI

B.L., in Mysore,

n g a l o r e.

B.A., B.L.,

rnment of

Maharaja of Mysore,

Revenue Department.

e ending with your memo No.607/ .ands endowed to Someswaraswami ., I have the honor to forward -) dated 25th January 1926 from - .ct, intimating that the suit , filed by Nanjunde Gowda in the District Court, Mysore, was dismissed with costs.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

T Ramachandrayya

Muzrai Commissioner.

For perusal. (This will be sent to the L.L. Sectt to which the files on the subject were transferred).

KSR.

Rev. Sectt

3/2

3/2

3/2

3/2

(1st member)

3/2

3/2

3/2

4/2

L Sectt

Ly 142 pf

18

From The Public Prosecutor
Mysore Division
Mysore

48

Letter No.C.908/24-25 dated 23rd/25th January 1926 from the - Deputy Commissioner, Mysore District to the Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore.

"With reference to correspon dence ending with your letter No.C.1419 of 24-25 dated 7th November 1925, re: Inam lands belonging to Sri Someswara devaru at Belthur, Mandya Taluk, I have the honor to report for your ---- information that the Public Prosecutor, Mysore Division, states in his letter No.362 dated 10-1-25 that the suit filed by Nanjundegowda against the Deputy Commissioner and the Amildar, Mandya in the District Judge's Court, Mysore (O.S.No.4 of 25-26) is dismissed with costs and the judgmer was pronounced on 4-1-1926."

"C o p y"

for Muzrai Commissioner.

KSR.

AM

The case referred to above is
for arguments.

I have taken exception to the maintain
of the suit on the ground that Sec. 12 of the
Mayrai Regulation contemplates only cases
grants made by private individuals and
not therefore applicable to the facts of the
present case. That the order of the
Mayrai Commissioner is final, acting as
this under Sec. 14 of the Mayrai Regulation
which does not refer to grants made by
Government.

In objection I have taken for the first
time in this Mayrai case after a careful
perusal of the sections in Mayrai Regulation
I hope the contention will prevail.
This argument was not advanced in
Suntas and Suraparis Mayrai suits
which are now in appeal before the Hon'ble
Supreme Court, the learned Govt. Advocate
may be requested to advance this argument
in the two Mayrai cases before the Hon'ble
Supreme Court.

The remaining arguments in the case
(regarding mode of service) I contemplate
to be intimated to you in due course

I have the honour to be
Sir,
Your most obedient Servant
Wilmot Cayley
Public Prosecutor, Elysore.

[ART. F. VI. Gl.]

SUBJECT.....

.....

.....

Diary No. of letter replied to... . ... .

Section

File No.

Seria No.

No. 13288 (1)

To ...H.E. ...Govt. ...Fr. ...State

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the 192 .

Sir,

Date of issue 31/12/25

Signed by M Mc[illegible]

List of enclosures .. ..

Copied by .....

Examined by.....

over

52 P 825

29549

From The Public Prosecutor and Govt. pleader, Mly Division, Mysore

To The Secretary to Govt. Local & Legislative Department, Bangalore

Dated 8-1-26

Despatched 10-1-26

Received

General No. 270/25-26.

No.

Enclosure No

Sir,

I have the honour to inform you that judgment in Cr no 4/25-26 (arraignment for the Deputy Commissioner Mly and Assistant, Mly a Taluk, on the file of the District Judicial Court Mysore, was pronounced on 6-1-26 dismissing the suit with Costs.

The contention that I urged in the case that the suit is not maintainable on the ground that Sec 12 of the Mysore Regulation does not apply to cases of frauds made by Govt. has been upheld by the learned District Judge

Mysore. There are two Kingston cases pending in appeal before the H. C. Court at Bangalore and the learned Govt may therefore be informed of the decision case under reference and be requested urge the same contention along with the other grou of appeal in the two cases viz Sivappa's and Sunda's suits which are pending in appeal before the Hon'ble chief court at Bangalore

I have the honour to be
Sir,
Your most obedient Servant
[signature]
Public Prosecutor & Govt. pleader, Mg

54

APP. F. VI. G

SUBJECT.....

.....

.....

Diary No. of letter replied to.....

General and Revenue Secretariat
( )
the 192

Date of issue 28/1/26

Signed by Mr Cleg.

List of enclosures.....

Copied by.....

Examined by.....

Section

File No.

Seria No.

No. P 3706 Emb

To The Chief Secretary

S.V.

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

Requested
to the Govt.
to the
enclosed copy.

I have the honour to be
Sir,
Your most obedient Servant

[illegible]

Public Prosecutor &
Govt. pleader, Mysore.

The Public
and S.
Mysore Dt.

12. FEB. 1926

To the
Local
Departments
Bangalore

Date 11-2-26
No 333/25-26

Sir, I have the
honour to state
the Deputy
Mysore District
informs me in his
memo no C. 908/25-26
dated 8-2-26 that
Nanjunda Sowda, the
plaintiff in O.S. 4/25-
has preferred an
appeal before the

Hon'ble chief Court. I am in receipt
of the learned District Judge Mysore
and has requested me to forward
to the Govt. Advocate any information
& Record desired by him. I have
accordingly sent to the Govt. Advocate
the copies of the plaint & application
for temporary injunction filed by pl
as well as the copy of the written st
ment filed by us together with the
file of records received from the
Deputy Commissioner in connection
with the case. The Govt. Advocate
has also been informed that as the
copies of judgment & decree have be
sent to the Local & Legislative Secre
and the Deputy Commissioner Mysore
respectively, they have been requested
to send from the judgment & decree
copies. I request you therefore
to send the copy of the judgment
in O.S no 4/95-96 to the Govt. Advocate

3107

LOCAL AND LEGISLATIVE DEPARTMENT
III
8. FEB 1926
PROTECTION BRANCH

In reply please refer to No. M. 579
Tel. Address—"JURIST," Bangalore.

33697

OFFICE OF THE GOVERNMENT ADVOCATE IN MYSORE

Bangalore 6th February 1926.

FROM

GENL. & REV. SECTT. Br. P 6 FEB. 1926 To G. D. SECTION.

K. SHANKARANARAYANA RAO, ESQ, M A, B.L,
GOVERNMENT ADVOCATE IN MYSORE,
BANGALORE

The Secretary to the Government
of H. H. The Maharaja of Mysore,
Local & Legislative Departments,
BANGALORE.

SIR,

With reference to your letter No. P.3706/Law. dated the 28th January 1926, I have the honour to return herewith, the copy of Judgment in Original Suit No. 4 of 1925-26 on the file of the District Judge, Mysore Division. The legal aspect of the case which you wanted to discuss with me (Vide - your letter No.3288/Law. dated 31st December 1925) has been dealt with in this judgment. If there are any more points which Government desire me to place before the Court, I would request you to communicate the same to me.

2. Regular Appeal No.154 of 1924-25 on the file of the Chief Court of Mysore (The Deputy Commissioner, Mysore District & another VS. N. Soorappa) is coming on for Hearing on the 15th February 1926 and will be heard on that day.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

Government Advocate.

CSN.

No. C 1419 / 24-25 34679 Office of the Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore.

Dated Bangalore, 13th February 1926.

From

B. Ramaswamaiya, Esq., B.A., B.L.,
Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore,
Bangalore.

To

B. Srinivasa Iyengar, Esq., B.A., B.L.,
Secretary to the Government of
His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,
Revenue Department.

Sir,

In continuation of this office letter C.No.1419/24-25 -- dated 29th January 1926, regarding the inam lands endowed to Sri Someswaraswami temple at Belattur in the Mandya Taluk, I have -- the honor to submit herewith copy of letter C.No.908/24-25 dated 8th Instant together with its enclosures in original received -- from the Deputy Commissioner, Mysore District, and to request -- that in the circumstances explained the Government Advocate may kindly be instructed to appear on behalf of the Deputy Commissioner and take the necessary action both as regards the stay petition and the appeal.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,
B. Ramaswamaiya
Muzrai Commissioner.

KSR.

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

.....

Read--

Letter No.C.1419/24-25 dated 15th February 1926, from the Muzrai Commissioner forwarding copy of letter from the Deputy Commissioner, Mysore District in the --- matter of an appeal (R.A.25 of 25-26) said to have been filed in the Chief Court by Nanjunde Gowda against the order of the District Judge, Mysore in O.S.No.4 of 1925-26 and requesting that the Government Advocate may be authorised to appear on behalf of the Deputy Commissioner in the above appeal, [illegible]

O R D E R. No 1067-70/Muz 7-25-12
Revenue Dept dt 20-2-26

Government are pleased to ~~sanction the defence of the above appeal and~~ to authorise and direct that the Government Advocate ~~may~~ appear on behalf of the Deputy Commissioner, Mysore ~~in~~ defend the above appeal before the Chief Court. ~~The High Court~~ Muzrai authorities obtaining instructions from the High Court

18/2

Revenue Secretary.

To

The Muzrai Commissioner.

The Government Advocate with a copy of the Deputy Commissioner's letter and the Notices from the Chief Court.

The Deputy Commissioner, Mysore.

60

35399

No. 1419
24-25

Office of the Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore.

Dated Bangalore, 20th February 1926.

Encl 2

GEN. & REV. SECRET
14 FEB 1926
MUZRAI
D 956

From

B. Ramaswamaiya, Esq., B.A., P.L.,
Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore,
Bangalore.

GEN. & REV. SECTT.
Bg. Muz To
20 FEB. 1926
G. D. SECTION

To

B. Srinivasa Iyengar, Esq., B.A., P.L.,
Secretary to the Government of
His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,
Revenue Department.

Sir,

D 932

In continuation of this office letter C.No.1419/24-25 -- dated 15th Instant, I have the honor to forward herewith copy of letter C.No.908/24-25 dated the 18th Instant from the Deputy -- Commissioner, Mysore District, submitting a copy of the decree -- passed by the District Judge, Mysore, in O.S.No.4 of 25-26 ---- pertaining to the possession of inam lands belonging to Someswara swami temple at Belathur in the Mandya Taluk.

2. The amount available at the credit of the temple will be ascertained and the bill on account of the Public Prosecutor's remuneration sanctioned by me.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your obedient servant,
[illegible]
Commissioner.

KSR.

[illegible]
23/2/26
[illegible]

61

Letter No.C.908/24-25 dated 17/18th February 1926 from the -- Deputy Commissioner, Mysore District to the Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore.

- - - - -

"I have the honor to submit herewith for favour of sanction a bill for Rs.8-14-2 an account of legal remuneration for the services rendred by the Public Prosecutor, Mysore, in O.S.No.4/25-26 (Nanjunde Gowda and Deputy Commissioner and the Amildar, Mandya Taluk) on the file of the District Judge's Court Mysore. The amount of the bill may be met out from the funds available at the credit of the Sri -- Someswaraswamy temple at Belathur, Mandya Taluk, as the suit was -- conducted in favour of the said Institution.

A copy of the Decree passed by the District Judge, Mysore, and received from the Public Prosecutor, Mysore, is enclosed for kind -- reference and transmission to the Government Advocate in Mysore, as Nanjundegowda has filed an appeal in the Chief Court of Mysore."

"C o p y"

for Muzrai Commissioner.

KSR.

List of enclosures.....

Copied by.....

Examined by.....

over

WD 0562—GPB—31,000—17-8-25

63

In reply please refer to
No. [illegible]
Tel. Address — "URIST" Bangalore.

OFFICE OF THE GOVERNMENT ADVOCATE IN MYSORE

Bangalore. 22nd February 192 6.

FROM

K. SHANKARANARAYANA RAO, ESQ., M.A., B.L.,
GOVERNMENT ADVOCATE IN MYSORE,
BANGALORE

To

The Muzrai Commissioner
in Mysore, BANGALORE.

GEN. & REV. SECRET
1-MAR.1926
MUZRAI

SIR,

With reference to Government Order No.1067-70/Muz.7-25-12 dated the 20th February 1926, authorizing me to appear on behalf of the Deputy Commissioner, Mysore, and defend Regular Appeal No.250/1925-26 before the Chief Court (Nanjunde Gowda VS. Deputy Commissioner of Mysore and another), obtaining necessary instructions from you, I have the honour to state that the application filed by the Appellant Nanjunde Gowda for the grant of a temporary injunction restraining the Defendants from taking possession of the suit land came on for hearing this day (22-2-1926).

2. An ad-interim order of injunction had already been passed by the Chief Court before issue of notice to the Deputy Commissioner, and we have now been called upon to show cause why the order should not be made absolute. As the aforesaid order of Government was only - received on the 20th instant and as there was no time to obtain the - necessary instructions in the matter, I have obtained two weeks' time to state our objections if any to the order.

3. May I request you under the circumstances to let me know what objections you wish me to urge against the prayer of the Appellant? I am enclosing copies of the Application and Affidavit for your - information.

97864

65

In reply please refer to
No. 673

Tel. Address:—
"[illegible]IST," Bangalore.

OFFICE OF THE GOVERNMENT ADVOCATE IN MYSORE

Bangalore... 8th ... March ... 19 26.

FROM

ARAYANA RAO, ESQ, M A, B.L.,
GOVERNMENT ADVOCATE IN MYSORE,
BANGALORE

This may be brought to the notice of the Ld. Secretary for disposal in connection with D. No. 997 (Chandy & Co. - Say).
For Transferred
9.3.1926 Ld. Secretary
9/3/26

missioner

ysore, BANGALORE.

for stay of Execution Proceedings/
) of 1925-26 on the file of the Chief
sore, (Nanjunde Gowda VS. The Deputy
, Mysore and another).

In continuation of this office letter No.630 dated the 22nd February 1926, I have the honour to state that the above application came on for final orders this day. The ad-interim stay order already passed on the 20th January 1926 has been made absolute subject to the condition that the appellant should furnish security for a sum of Rs.350/- representing approximately the rents for 3 years.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

SD/- K. SHANKARANARAYANA RAO.
Government Advocate.

CSN.

GENL. & REV. SECTT. Bg. 8. MAR. 1926 G. D. SECTION.

GEN. & REV. SECRETARIAT. 8 MAR 1926 MUZRAI D. 1014

Memo No. 675

Dated Bangalore, 8th March 1926.

Copy of the above is forwarded with compliments, to the Secretary to Government, Revenue Department, for information, in continuation of this office letter No.632 dated 22-2-1926.

For perusal. The main file is also put up. This will after perusal be transferred to the L. Secty.
Government Advocate.
9/3 10/3 11/3
L-Secty Rev. Secy

97864

65

In reply please refer to No. 673

Tel Address — "LEGIST," Bangalore

OFFICE OF THE GOVERNMENT ADVOCATE IN MYSORE

Bangalore 8th March ..... 1926.

FROM

ARAYANA RAO, ESQ, M A, B.L,
GOVERNMENT ADVOCATE IN MYSORE,
BANGALORE

This may be handed over to L. L Section for disposal in connection with D. No. 997 (Transferred to L-Sec. 9.3.1926 L-Section 9/3/26

missioner

Mysore, BANGALORE.

for stay of Execution Proceedings/
30 of 1925-26 on the file of the Chief
sore, (Nanjunde Gowda VC. The Deputy
r, Mysore and another).

In continuation of this office letter No.630 dated the 22n February 1926, I have the honour to state that the above application came on for final orders this day. The ad-interim stay order already passed on the 20th January 1926 has been made absolute subject to the condition that the appellant should furnish security for a sum of - Rs.350/- representing approximately the rents for 3 years.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

SD/- K. SHANKARANARAYANA RAO.

Government Advocate.

GENL. & REV. SECTT. Bg. 8. MAR. 1926 G. D. SECTION.

CSN.

GEN. & REV. SECRETARIAT - MAR 1926 MUZRAI

Memo No. 675

Dated Bangalore, 8th March 1926.

Copy of the above is forwarded with compliments, to the Secretary to Government, Revenue Department, for information, in continuation of this office letter No.632 dated 22-2-1926.

For perusal. The main file is also put up. This will after perusal be transferred to the L.L. Sects.

Government Advocate.

L-Sects/ 1113 Rev. Sects/ 9/3 10/3 9/3/1113

No. C 1419 9-24 5 Office of the Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore.

Dated Bangalore, 3rd March 1926.

From

B. Ramaswamaiya, Esq., B.A., B.L.,

Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore,

Bangalore.

D 917

Mis 3-3-26-13

B. Srinivasa Iyengar, Esq., B.A., B.L.,

Secretary to the Government of

His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,

Revenue Department.

Sir,

With reference to Government Proceedings No.1067-70/M.F.7-25-12 dated 20th February 1926 authorising the Government Advocate to appear on behlaf of the Deputy Commissioner, Mysore District, and defend R.A.No.250/25-26 before the Chief Court, I have the honor to state that the Deputy Commissioner requests that sanction of Government may be obtained for defending the Amildar of the -- Mandya Taluk through the Government Advocate, since he has also -- been made a defendant and as notice and summons in the case have also been received by him. I therefore request that necessary instructions may kindly be issued to the Government Advocate in Mysore - to whom the Defendant's notice and summons have been sent direct to save delay.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

B Ramaswamaiya

Muzrai Commissioner.

KSR.

6-

Muz. 7|

SUBJECT.....

Diary No. of letter replied to.....

Muzrai Secretariat

( )

the 192 .

Date of issue 25/3/27

Signed by.

May this be

i Printed ?

ii treated as a standing order ?

iii published in the Gazette. English Part ?

iv translated ?

v placed at the disposal of the press ?

vi published in the Gazette, Kannada Part ?

SECTION Muz

FILE No. 7 T&S

SERIAL No. 164

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore

Read—

G.O. no 1667-70/Muz. J-25-12 dy 20-2-1926 authorizing the Govt. Advocate to appear on behalf of the Dy. Comr. Mysore, and defend the appeal-R. A. 25 of 25-26. filed by Nanjunde Gowda in the Chief Court.

Order Thereon No.

Letter no. C 1419 dy 3rd March 1926 from the Muz. Comr. reporting that the Dy. Comr requests sanction of Govt.

for defending the Building,
Mandya, since he has
also been made a defendant (Respt)
and as notice and Summons
in the case, have also
been received by him,
and requesting that necessary
instructions may be issued
to the Govt Advocate
to plead the Defendants'
notice and Summons have
been sent direct to save
delay.

under cover.

List of enclosures.

Govt are pleased
to authorize the Govt.
Advr to appear on
behalf of the Building,
Mandya also and defend
in the appeal

Copied by

Examined by

WD 0566—2000—GPB—29.7.22

G. R. S. G/33]

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(*Continuation sheet draft form.*)

65

appeal before the chief court

UD 1313 DW Rev Secretary.

To

The Insp. Genl.

" Genl Affrs.

" D. C. Mysore.

" Comptr.

WD 2239—GPE—1,00,000—23 7·20

20

Office of the Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore.

1419
M.S. Cell

Dated Bangalore, 20th March 1926.

GEN. & REV. SECRET 24MAR1926 MUZRAI

From

GEN. & REV. SECRET 22. MAR 1926 To D. SECTION

B. Ramaswamaiya, Esq., B.A., B.L.,
Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore,
Bangalore.

D. 1090
Muz 7-2515

B. Srinivasa Iyengar, Esq., B.A., B.L.,
Secretary to the Government of
His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,
Revenue Department.

Sir,

In forwarding herewith copy of letter C.No.508/24-25 dated the 13th Instant from the Deputy Commissioner, Mysore District, I have the honor to request that the copy of the decree referred to therein and sent to you with this office letter C.No.1419/24-25 dated 20th February 1926 may kindly be sent to the Government -- Advocate for reference.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

for Muzrai Commissioner.
Absent from the Station on tour.

KSR.

Copies of Judgt. & Decree were sent to G.A. vide letter No. 1419 dated 20/2/26 Mus Dy. Comr's Judgt. & Decree

24.3.26

Letter No.C.908/24-25 dated 13th march 1926 from the Deputy Commissioner, Mysore District tothe Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore.

- - - - -

"In continuation of this office letter No.C.908 of 24-25 dated -- 11-3-1926, I have the honor to request that the copy of the decree in O.S.No.41 of 25-26 on the file of the District Judge's Court forwarded to him with this office No.C.908 of 24-25 dated 18th February 1926 may kindly be caused to be forwarded to the Government Advocate in Mysore Bangalore."

"C o p y"

2 January 20/3/26

for Muzrai Commissioner.

KSR.

72

[H]

SUBJECT.....

Section mur

File No. 7-25

Diary No. of letter replied to... .

Seria No. 16

No. 1263

To ...The Asst. Comr.....

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the 192

Sir,

Date of issue 21/3/26

Signed by.....

Adverting to your letter No. 1419 dt 22nd March 1926 regarding Ranje Gowda's appeal in the Chief Court about the inam lands endowed to Sri Someshwara Swamy temple at Belakir, Mandya Taluk, I am directed to state that copies of judgment and decree received with your letter No. 1419 dt 20th July 1926, were sent to the Govt Pleader in Mysore under ...

List of enclosures .....

Copied by.....

Examined by.....

Yr Cmuu No. P. 4084 dy
24-2-26 cmcd from the
L of L Secty

I have etc

Initials
No Copy

Rd Secretary
24.3.26

Ack in my time.

Ack

Rd Secretary
27-3-26

D 90 - 1926.

Please refer to 8479

Address:— "JURIST," Bangalore.

OFFICE OF THE GOVERNMENT ADVOCATE IN MYSORE

Bangalore... 7th *June* *192*6.

FROM

51784

K. SHANKARANARAYANA RAO, ESQ., M.A., B.L.,
GOVERNMENT ADVOCATE IN MYSORE,
BANGALORE

To

The Munsif Commissioner
in Mysore, BANGALORE.

SIR,

Re: Application for stay of execution proceedings in Regular Appeal No. 250 of 1925-26 on the file of the Chief Court of Mysore, (Nanjunde Gowda VERSUS The Deputy Commissioner, Mysore, and another).

--oOo--

In continuation of this office letter No.673 dated the 8th March 1926, I have the honour to state that the above case came on for orders before the Chief Court to-day and as the security called for by the court, from the Appellant has been furnished, the adinterim order of injunction already passed in the case, has been made absolute.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

SD/- K. SHANKARANARAYANA RAO.
Government Advocate.

JUDL. & REV. SECTION. Br..... 8 JUN. 1926 C. D. SECTION.

RN

D 1481
7-25-14

Memo No. 857 Rev Br?

Dated Bangalore, 7th June 1926.

Copy of the above is forwarded with compliments to the Secretar to Government, Revenue Department, for information, in continuation of this office letter No. 675 dated 8-3-1926.

Government Advocate.

25

G.R.S. III—3]

Routine Notes.

[To be used only for notes, requisitions, etc., not intended for permanent record.]

This refers to the Miz. Branch.

The connected file D.1019 - Miz. 7. 7 - 25 was transferred to L & L Secty for further action.

This may be sent in continuation.

Secretr.

For perusal. 15.6.26. This will be sent to the L. L. Secty in continuation. The main file is here.

Rev. Secy

4895 22.6.26

P

15/6

16/6

L. L. Secty

16/6

84. 21.6

N.B.– Should routine notes be written on small slips, the notes must be copied or slips pasted on this form.

R.A. IV 257/26.VI.6 Ghuznee Khanpur Road

G R.S. III—31

Routine Notes.

[To be used only for notes, requisitions, etc., not intended for permanent record.

Road for transport with the heavier baggage. Final destination of the advanced unit to be awaited

Loop 2510

N B.—Should routine notes be written on small slips, the notes must be copied, or slips pasted on this form

27

In reply please refer to
No. 552

Tel. Address :—
"JURIST" Bangalore.

OFFICE OF THE GOVERNMENT ADVOCATE
IN MYSORE,

Bangalore 25th January 192 8.

FINANCIAL SECRETARY
25 JAN. 1928
No

GEN. & REV. SECRETARIATS
26
MUZRAI

FROM

K. SHANKARANARAYANA RAO, ESQ, M.A., B.L.,
GOVERNMENT ADVOCATE IN MYSORE,
BANGALORE.

2972
7-25 18

To

The Secretary to the Government of
His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,
Financial Department, Bangalore.

SIR,

27/271

In this paper 4 26/1/28 With reference to Government Order No. 10.7-70/Mis. 7-25-12, dated the 20th February 1926, I have the honour to state that Regular Appeal No. 250/1925-26 on the file of the Chief Court of Mysore, (Patel Nanjunda Gowda Versus. The Deputy Commissioner, Mysore District and another), came on for final disposal on the 23rd November 1927, and judgment was pronounced allowing the appeal and remanding the case to the lower court for fresh disposal.

2. A copy of the Judgment has been applied for, and the same will be forwarded to Government as soon as it is received in this office.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,
K Shankaranarayana Rao
Government Advocate.

F. (M. Gl.)

78

SUBJECT.....

Diary No. of letter replied to . ...

Section

File No.

Serial No.

No. 4507

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the 192

To

.... The Advocate ....

Date of issue. 8/2/28

Signed by 8/2/28

Sir,

With reference to your letter No. 552 dt 28-1-28, (in connection with) stating that Regular Appeal No. 280/25-26 on the file of the Chief Court ~~case on first appeal and that judgt~~ was pronounced allowing the appeal and remanding the case to the lower court for fresh disposal, I have the honour to request that a copy of this judgt ~~of the Chief Court~~ may kindly be forwarded at an early date.

I have etc.

List of enclosures..... ....

Copied by .....

Examined by .. . .

over

Secy to Govt - Judl Dept.

W D c 62 -GPB—31,000—17-8-25

In reply please refer to
No. 62

Tel Address —
"JUKIM," Bangalore.

OFFICE OF THE GOVERNMENT ADVOCATE
IN MYSORE,

Bangalore 14th February 1928.

FINANCIAL SECRETARY
15 FEB. 1928

FROM

K. SHANKARANARAYANA RAO, Esq., M.A., B.L.,
GOVERNMENT ADVOCATE IN MYSORE,
BANGALORE.

To

The Secretary to the Government
of H. H. The Maharaja of Mysore,
Financial Department, BANGALORE.

SIR,

In continuation of this office letter No.552 dated the 25th January 1928, I have the honor to forward herewith, for the information of Government, a copy of the Order passed by the Chief Court of Mysore in Regular Appeal No.200 of 1925-26 (Patel Nanjunde Gowda VS. The Deputy Commissioner, Mysore District and another).

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

K Shankaranarayana Rao

GOVERNMENT ADVOCATE.

SD

Section Land

File No.

Serial No.

No. P. 6037/Land/

No. of letter replied to.....

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

he 192

of issue 9-4-28

d by ....

(Nanjunda Gowda VS Nanjegowda Murgesh and another)

of enclosures....

l by

ied by .....

To The P.P.

Mysore

Sir,

I am directed ~~I have the honour~~ to state that ~~Judgment~~ was pronounced in Regular Appeal No 250/28-26, on the file of the Chief Court, allowing the appeal and remanding the case to the lower court for fresh disposal - and to request ~~that~~ you ~~may~~ to hand appear in the case on behalf of Govt

Copy of ~~Judgment~~ order passed by the Chief Court is enclosed

I have the

over

WD0325—GPB—80/AD8[illegible]

2038 Copy to Murzai Cell Lig.

Murzai Pr